NINETY-EIGHTH SESSION

Judgment No. 2426

The Administrative Tribunal,

Considering the application for review of Judgment 2275 filed by Mr D. B. on 22 March 2004;

Considering Article II, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Article 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions;

CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. The complainant seeks the review of Judgment 2275 in which the Tribunal dismissed his complaint against the International Service for National Agricultural Research (ISNAR). He was challenging the decision not to renew his contract after 31 January 2002 and alleging that the Director General had failed in his duty of care and abused his authority.
- 2. The Tribunal recalls that its judgments must be published once they have been delivered in public, that they carry the authority of *res judicata* and that they are subject to review only in exceptional cases and on very limited grounds, as defined in Judgment 442.
- 3. In his application for review of Judgment 2275, the complainant merely reiterates the arguments he had submitted earlier unsuccessfully and contests the analysis by the Tribunal of those arguments. His pleas therefore fall under the *res judicata* rule and are clearly irreceivable. Consequently, the Tribunal dismisses the application for review in accordance with the summary procedure provided for in Article 7 of its Rules.
- 4. The complainant's claim aimed at preventing the publication of Judgment 2275 is in any case redundant, since that judgment has already been published.

DECISION

For the above reasons,

The application for review is dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 11 November 2004, Mr Michel Gentot, President of the Tribunal, Mr James K. Hugessen, Vice-President, and Ms Mary G. Gaudron, Judge, sign below, as do I, Catherine Comtet, Registrar.

Delivered in public in Geneva on 2 February 2005.

Michel Gentot

James K. Hugessen

Mary G. Gaudron

Catherine Comtet

Updated by PFR. Approved by CC. Last update: 17 February 2005.