

118th Session

Judgment No. 3379

THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,

Considering the application for review of Judgment 3136 filed by Mr D.C. P. on 19 July 2012;

Considering Article II, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Article 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions;

CONSIDERATIONS

1. The complainant applies for review of Judgment 3136. It is well settled that the Tribunal's judgments are final and may only be reviewed in exceptional circumstances and on the grounds of "failure to take account of particular facts, a mistaken finding of fact that involves no exercise of judgment, omission to rule on a claim and the discovery of some new facts which the complainant was unable to invoke in time in the [earlier] proceedings" (see Judgment 1952, under 3).

2. In his application, the complainant does not identify any of the grounds upon which a judgment may be reviewed. Rather, his application is an attempt to litigate matters that were found to be irreceivable in Judgment 3136. As the application is devoid of merit, it

will be dismissed in accordance with the summary procedure provided for in Article 7 of the Rules of the Tribunal.

DECISION

For the above reasons,

The application for review is summarily dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 15 May 2014, Ms Dolores M. Hansen, Judge presiding the meeting, Mr Michael F. Moore, Judge, and Sir Hugh A. Rawlins, Judge, sign below, as do I, Dražen Petrović, Registrar.

Delivered in public in Geneva on 9 July 2014.

DOLORES M. HANSEN

MICHAEL F. MOORE

HUGH A. RAWLINS

DRAŽEN PETROVIĆ