

**SUDAN**

**Sustained Peace for Development:  
Conflict Prevention and Peace-Building in  
Sudan (MDGF- 1978)**

**Conflict Prevention and Peace Building**



**MDG | F**

MDG ACHIEVEMENT FUND

<b>Total Budget:</b>	USD 6,000,000		
<b>Budget by Agency:</b>	IOM: 779,017 UNDP: 1,545,743 UNICEF: 1,157,285 FAO: 535,910	ILO: 724,860 WHO: 498,738 UNFPA: 362,169 UNIFEM: 396,278	
<b>Participating Gov. Entities:</b>	Ministry of International Cooperation; Ministry of Regional Cooperation; Southern Sudan Peace Commission; Ministry of Labour, Public Services and Human Resource Development; Federal Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sports; Federal Ministry of Social Welfare, Women and Child Affairs; Ministry of Gender, Social Welfare and Religious Affairs; Federal Ministry of General Education; NCVE		
<b>Start Date:</b>	15 December 2009	<b>Approval Date:</b>	2 December 2009
<b>Est. End Date:</b>	15 December 2012	<b>Signature Date:</b>	23 November 2009
<b>Disbursements:</b>	First Disbursement:  Second Disbursement:  Third Disbursement:	15 December 2009	USD 3,219,962
<b>In Brief:</b>	The programme aims to prevent conflict from relapsing in 4 bordering states of Sudan, by bolstering peace building, rule of law and socioeconomic recovery within -and between- vulnerable communities and local authorities in targeted areas and villages. The contested border area is one of the most complex conflict systems in the country, with increasingly serious tensions building since the signing of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) in 2005. In light of this fragile and volatile context, and the crucial need of linking local level conflict management with national priorities, the programme will strengthen conflict resolution mechanisms, better resource management, planning and leadership to reduce the likeliness of inadvertent clashes triggered by local disputes over cattle grazing, water and land use, that can lead to increased instability. It will increase access to justice and generate peace dividends directly tackling the root causes of resource-based conflicts.		
<b>Outcomes:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strengthened systems and capacities for sustainable conflict prevention and management of natural resource based conflict.</li> <li>Citizens' (with a focus on women and children) utilisation of rule of law institutions and access to justice increased due to enhanced conflict sensitive capacity of relevant state authorities.</li> <li>Increased conflict sensitive recovery and reintegration at community level through basic service delivery and development of economic opportunities.</li> </ul>		
<b>Regions of Intervention:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bordering states of Northern Bahr el Ghazal, Warrap, Unity, Southern Kordofan</li> </ul>		
<b>MDGs</b>	MDG7 T7.A		
<b>Beneficiaries</b>	Direct	Indirect	



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>No. Institutions</b></li> <li><b>No. Women</b></li> <li><b>No. Men</b></li> <li><b>No. ethnic groups</b></li> </ul>	16 2,622 2,626 5,264	33 5,240 5,240 10,513																											
Project coordinator: Adnan Cheema RCO Focal Point: Clarence Soriano, Nahla Abu Eissa Web page:																													
<b>Status</b>	The Joint Programme completes 6 months of implementation. The progress to date is mainly comprised of inception activities and preparatory activities for trainings and awareness raising campaigns.																												
<b>Estimated financial execution status as of the June 30, 2010 biannual report:</b>	<p><b>Disbursed Budget:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.20 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>4.10 % over transferred budget</li> </ul> <p><b>Committed Budget:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.15 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>13.28 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>																												
<b>Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred (%)</th> <th>Disbursed (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAO, 0.94%</td> <td>~320,000</td> <td>~0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ILO, 2.68%</td> <td>~350,000</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IOM, 0.92%</td> <td>~550,000</td> <td>~0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP, 7.21%</td> <td>~750,000</td> <td>~50,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNFPA, 7.63%</td> <td>~180,000</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNICEF, 0%</td> <td>~620,000</td> <td>~0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNIFEM, 1.5%</td> <td>~180,000</td> <td>~30,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WHO, 17.6%</td> <td>~250,000</td> <td>~30,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred (%)	Disbursed (%)	FAO, 0.94%	~320,000	~0	ILO, 2.68%	~350,000	~10,000	IOM, 0.92%	~550,000	~0	UNDP, 7.21%	~750,000	~50,000	UNFPA, 7.63%	~180,000	~10,000	UNICEF, 0%	~620,000	~0	UNIFEM, 1.5%	~180,000	~30,000	WHO, 17.6%	~250,000	~30,000
Agency	Transferred (%)	Disbursed (%)																											
FAO, 0.94%	~320,000	~0																											
ILO, 2.68%	~350,000	~10,000																											
IOM, 0.92%	~550,000	~0																											
UNDP, 7.21%	~750,000	~50,000																											
UNFPA, 7.63%	~180,000	~10,000																											
UNICEF, 0%	~620,000	~0																											
UNIFEM, 1.5%	~180,000	~30,000																											
WHO, 17.6%	~250,000	~30,000																											
<b>Main Achievements:</b>	Recently completed inception phase. N/A																												
Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the <b>activities/outputs/outcomes</b> ? (example) Does the JP include gender specific <b>indicators</b> in the monitoring plan? (example) Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the <b>challenges</b> analyzed? (specify)																													